

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,479 08/30/2001		Christophe J. Chevallier	400.037US01	9323	
27073	7590 04/18/2005		EXAMINER		
LEFFERT	JAY & POLGLAZE, P	TRAN, ANDREW Q			
P.O. BOX 5 MINNEAPO	81009 DLIS, MN 55458-1009	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2824		
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/943,4	79	CHEVALLIER, CHRISTOPHE J.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Andrew 0	Q. Tran	2824				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress			
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no exation. ays, a reply within the starty period will apply and well by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be stutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr plication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this con NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖾	) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 December 2004</u> .							
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims				`			
-	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the app	lication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,4,5 and 7-44</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· _	Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	· · · ——						
·								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the E	yaminer						
·—	b)							
ובשולסו	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	• • •	•	` ,			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	-	foreign priority ur	nder 35 ILS C & 110	(a)-(d) or (f)				
•	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
۵ <sub>/L</sub>	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the				Stago			
	application from the International			ived iii tiiis ivational o	nage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	·	, ,,	ived.				
A44aab	<b>(4)</b>							
Attachment	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	anı (PTO-412)				
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🔯 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date 01/23/2002.			al Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/943,479

Art Unit: 2824

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of invention of Group I (claims 1-28 and 35-40) and species of Figure 3 in which claims 1, 3 and 6 are readable thereon, in the reply filed on December 28, 2004 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that "elected claim 1 is generic to remaining Group I claims 2, 4-5, 7-28 and 35-40. This is not found persuasive because while claim 1 is generic to the disclosed species, claim 1 is not generic to the remaining claims in Group I invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 2, 4-5, 7-44 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on December 28, 2004.

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because:

In Fig. 2, reference numeral "130" should be changed to --116--. In Fig. 8, reference numeral "170" should be changed to --171--.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure

must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

Claim3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 3, line 5, "is" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of

Application/Control Number: 09/943,479

Art Unit: 2824

2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bill et al. (US Pat. 5,675,537 hereafter "Bill"). See Figures and Abstract.

Claims 1, 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Harari (US Pat. 6,570,790 hereafter "Harari"). See Figure 11e. Note that although Fig. 11e appears to refer to "programming", the terms "programming" and "erasing" are used interchangeably in the nonvolatile semiconductor memory art. See also col. 26, In. 21-25.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ban et al. (US Pat. 6,469,931) describes a method for increasing information content in a computer memory.

Chevallier (US Pub. 2003/0048664) describes an erase verify method for a non-volatile memory.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Q. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard T. Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Q. Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2824

at April 17, 2005